

A meeting of the Board of Directors of the Municipal Authority of the Borough of Portage was held on Thursday, September 4, 2014, at 7:00 p.m., in the Conference Room at 606 Cambria Street.

Those in attendance were:

Ray Bowman, Chairman
Tom Hodgkinson
Steve Koval
Jerome Yetsko

Also present were: Ron Cadwallader, Jr., Superintendent; Attorney Bill Barbin, Solicitor; Steve Sewalk, The EADS Group; Amanda Petrunak, Mainline Newspapers; Dennis Beck, Resident.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Bowman, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m., which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance and Roll Call.

II. RECOGNITION OF GUESTS

Mr. Bowman recognized Amanda Petrunak, Mainline Newspapers; and Denny Beck, Resident.

III. CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Bowman apprised the Board that a thank you note was received from Career Link for the Authority's participation in the Career Link program this summer.

Mr. Bowman reported that notification was received of the PA Rural Water 2014 regional conference to be held September 30 – October 1 in Mars, Pennsylvania. Any Board member interested in attending was asked to contact Mr. Cadwallader.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

ON MOTION OF MR. KOVAL, SECONDED BY MR. HODGKINSON, THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY AGREED TO DISPENSE WITH THE READING OF THE AUGUST 7, 2014 MINUTES BEING THAT THEY WERE DISTRIBUTED IN WRITTEN FORM AND UNANIMOUSLY ACCEPTED THE MINUTES AS PRESENTED. BOARD MEMBERS VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY INCLUDED MR. BOWMAN, MR. HODGKINSON, MR. KOVAL, AND MR. YETSKO.

V. AGENT'S EXPENDITURES

No Agent's expenditures to be presented.

VI. INVOICES

Aflac	\$ 226.22
Borough of Portage	232.50
Cambria Mailing Services Inc	76.97
Capital Region Benefits, Inc	84.71
CMPA	1,000.00
Cole-Parmer Inst Co	465.55
Ebensburg Office Supply	47.50
Environmental Services Labs	144.00

FWF Insurance Agency, Inc	16,352.00
HRI, Inc	1,615.13
L/B Water Service, Inc	98.30
Mary L Elchin	180.00
New Enterprise Stone & Lime Co	384.89
Northern Safety & Industrial	72.65
Portage Auto Parts	40.48
Portage Post Office	147.00
Portage Service Center	1,178.64
Ray Oil & Gas	42.86
Raymond Bowman	50.00
RDM-Johnstown, LLC	832.00
Ronald Cadwallader, Jr	20.00
Sharon Squillario	50.00
Stager's Store	202.27
Stephen Koval	50.00
Thomas Hodgkinson	50.00
Thomas Kunko	50.00
Zee Medical, Inc	45.67
	<hr/>
	\$23,739.64

Paid Bills

Aflac	\$ 226.22
Capital Region Benefits, Inc	84.71
Comcast	239.79
Penelec	1,857.06
Peoples Natural Gas	14.36
Pro Disposals	142.00
Ray's Lawnmower	93.97
REA Energy	872.00
Andrew Hutsky	100.00
Colleen Torres	100.00
Lowe's	284.99
Sheetz Fleet Service	360.17
Verizon	351.78
1 st Summit Bank	391.34
Elizabeth Rodriguez	44.14
Health America	2,318.90
Sandra Llewellyn	100.00
Verizon Wireless	176.86
Edward Dyche	100.00
	<hr/>
	\$7,858.29

ON MOTION OF MR. HODGKINSON, SECONDED BY MR. KOVAL, THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY AGREED TO ACCEPT AND PAY INVOICES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$41,002.54 (REGULAR BILLS, \$23,739.34; PAID BILLS, \$7,858.29; PennVEST, \$3,896.36 AND \$5,508.55). BOARD MEMBERS VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY INCLUDED MR. BOWMAN, MR. HODGKINSON, MR. KOVAL, AND MR. YETSKO.

VII. TREASURER'S REPORT

ON MOTION OF MR. KOVAL, SECONDED BY MR. HODGKINSON, THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY AGREED TO DISPENSE WITH THE READING OF THE AUGUST 2014 TREASURER'S REPORT AS IT WAS PREVIOUSLY DISTRIBUTED IN WRITTEN FORM AND UNANIMOUSLY ACCEPTED THE REPORT WITH A BALANCE OF: BEGINNING CASH, \$1,549,066.13; CASH IN, \$206,802.46; CASH OUT, (\$188,405.23); ADJUSTMENT (INTEREST), \$0; ENDING CASH, \$1,567,463.36. BOARD MEMBERS VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY INCLUDED MR. BOWMAN, MR. HODGKINSON, MR. KOVAL, AND MR. YETSKO.

VIII. SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Mr. Bowman noted that Mr. Cadwallader's report was distributed to the Board members prior to the meeting; and he asked the Board if there were any questions regarding the report.

Mr. Cadwallader noted that, as part of the Engineer's Report, he received an email from Mr. Beyer today requesting that the Board consider approving the permits and the related fees concerning the Mountain Avenue project so that the project can move forward. Mr. Sewalk commented that he did have this under the Engineer's Report for discussion.

Mr. Cadwallader shared with the Board photos of a residence located on East Calvin. He noted that there was a water leak which washed out the area underneath the steps at the residence. The steps were homemade; and to replace them would be very difficult. Mr. Cadwallader indicated that he was requesting the Board's consideration of possibly pouring a set of steps or purchase a set of precast steps. Mr. Cadwallader explained that the end of the line in this area was capped off and kept blocking off behind it, resulting in it blowing the end of the line loose causing the damage to the steps. Mr. Koval questioned if the concern has been addressed, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied affirmatively. Mr. Cadwallader indicated that, if we put the steps back in as is, if we have a heavy rain, it might result in the same concern. Mr. Koval questioned the concern with replacing the steps as is, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied that they were basically stacked blocks. Mr. Koval indicated that we really could not pour all concrete because if it happens again, everything underneath would wash out. Mr. Yetzko questioned what Mr. Cadwallader's recommendation would be, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied that he thought of pouring a new set of steps so that it would be addressed for any future problems, but it would be the Board's decision. Mr. Koval questioned if the poured steps would not wash out again, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied that it would be his plan to pour the new steps from the ground up to make the three steps. Mr. Bowman noted that he would defer to Mr. Cadwallader's judgment in this matter since he has not seen the area.

Mr. Koval questioned if we could purchase a set of pre-made steps, to which Mr. Sewalk replied that the pre-made steps may not meet rise and run regulations. Mr. Cadwallader noted that he could obtain a quote to do this. Mr. Koval commented that we should compare the cost of both ways; i.e., pouring the steps and purchasing precast. Mr. Hodgkinson questioned where the precast steps can be purchased, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied that he could check with Foust, The Shadowbox, and Fioff. Mr. Koval stated that Fioff will deliver and install the steps.

Mr. Cadwallader apprised the Board that he received a telephone call from a resident on Munster Road (Gressick) asking if residents in her area could be supplied with water. There are two residents in that area beyond the tank on the left-hand side that are interested. At the current time, Mr. Cadwallader noted, we have all of the residents in the diamond area on Munster Road tied in. These residents paid to have the line put in and they have pumps in their homes. However, in order to get water to the residents now requesting water, Mr. Cadwallader stated that we would have to put a pump station in. Attorney Barbin pointed out that pumps in the homes are not adequate in that they do not meet DEP regulations.

Mr. Cadwallader explained that, if we are going to do this project, we should plan it to meet the needs of the future with either 6" or 8" and a pump station. Once we address this area, Mr. Cadwallader noted, he knows others are going to approach the Authority with a similar request. He stated that we should attempt to get the lines up to the Golden Farm in the area where Jamestown Road and Munster Road meet; and we could also supply off the Benscreek site as well. Mr. Hodgkinson questioned what the cost of this project would be, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied that utilizing 6" pipe and materials, and not including the pump and labor, the approximate cost would be \$23,000 for three houses. Mr. Koval questioned if the new customers would be paying the new tap fee, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied affirmatively. Mr. Cadwallader pointed out, however, that in order to get to these residents, it is mostly farmland; and normally the residents pay frontage as well. He explained that he does not know the condition of the wells in that area; and it does seem that the residents are having a concern with their wells. The resident that inquired indicated that she was starting to have trouble with her well and this is why she was contacting the Authority regarding obtaining city water.

Mr. Cadwallader pointed out that this is a project that is not going to happen immediately. With a distance of 2,300 feet, the pump station would have to go near the tank on the Authority's property; and Mr. Cadwallader would also have to check with Mr. Gressick regarding obtaining a right-of-way along the cornfield on his property. The line would come up the road on Mr. Beyer's side of the road; and we would have to do a couple of bores under the road. Mr. Cadwallader explained that he looked at putting the lines in on the other side of the road; however, as you get near the area where some trees were recently removed, we would be fighting a lot of stumps. Most of the houses are on the right hand side of the road; and if the McKrush's wanted to tie in, we could put another bore under the road.

Mr. Hodgkinson commented that perhaps we could check to see if there are grant monies available for this project. Mr. Cadwallader noted that he would like to speak with the residents first to see what the actual concern is with their wells. Mr. Beyer had concerns with his well in the past, but now it is working properly. Mr. Cadwallader emphasized that before talking to DEP, he wants to talk with the residents first. Attorney Barbin pointed out that the talk for the far future is running a line from Ebsburg to Cresson. Mr. Cadwallader commented that he has heard discussion also of a line running from Portage to Route 22 to meet Cresson's line; and also talk of a line from Cassandra to Lilly to tie in as a backup. Attorney Barbin emphasized that, if they put the line in along Route 22, they will pick up as many customers as possible; and this has to be taken into consideration as well. Mr. Cadwallader pointed out that the potential is there for us to tie in at the Golden residence, which would give the Authority approximately 13 homes; and if we would go back down Jamestown Road where the previous project stopped, we could pick up an additional five to seven homes as well. Mr. Bowman commented that the situation as it is is a long payback period.

Attorney Barbin pointed out that you are not able to assess a farmer for frontage along his fields as there is a law in Pennsylvania that prohibits this. The other option is to tell the residents that the Authority will take on the project if the residents pay to put in the pipe and it would be their pipe; and if anyone taps into their pipe over the next 10 years, you negotiate a price to pay them back. Mr. Cadwallader stated that if the residents wanted to get it done on their own, it might only be 2" pipe, to which Attorney Barbin replied that the Authority could stipulate what the specifications should be on the size of the pipe, etc. Mr. Cadwallader stated that there is a 2" feeding the Krug and Gressick residences now, to which Attorney Barbin interjected that we cannot allow this now.

Mr. Hodgkinson recommended that Mr. Cadwallader talk with the residents first before taking any further action. Mr. Cadwallader stated that he would also like to talk to Mine Reclamation representatives on the status of the wells. Mr. Beck questioned if the pipes are installed along with the pump station, will the residents require the pumps inside their homes, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied that the residents would not. Mr. Cadwallader explained that the pump that would be installed would be a high service pump, similar to that in Martindale, that would maintain the residents between 65 – 80 pounds of pressure at all times (six residents); and if we wanted to put a hydrant in as well, it would ensure fire protection.

As it relates to the replacement of Unit #2, Mr. Cadwallader informed the Board that Dodge and Chevy do not have any 2015 vehicles out as yet. Mr. Hodgkinson commented that he noticed one of the invoices paid was to Portage Auto for over \$1,500, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied that the invoice included gas, maintenance, etc. on all of the vehicles. Mr. Hodgkinson stated that he wanted to ensure these were not repair costs for Unit #2.

Mr. Hodgkinson apprised the Board that he received a call from a resident on Albert Drive complimenting Brian and Todd on the commendable job they did on the project in that area. Mr. Yetsko commented that all of the employees do a good job on a daily basis.

IX. ENGINEER'S REPORT

Mr. Sewalk commented that a copy of the Engineer's Report was distributed to the Board prior to the meeting.

Mr. Sewalk informed the Board that EADS submitted its final invoice on the RUS project. This invoice, in the amount of \$3,425.24, will not go on a requisition in that it has already been accounted for and was part of the closing.

Mr. Sewalk noted that, as it relates to the CDBG project, substantial completion was held on all three contracts. Mr. Beyer met with Mr. Custer; and Mr. Custer has agreed to the use of the remaining \$101,000 grant money remaining for the Mountain Avenue project. Mr. Custer has requested \$10,000 to administer it, so the remaining \$91,000 will be used toward the project. The project will not have to be rebid as we can do it as a change order (change order to the Johnson Avenue project). Mr. Koval questioned if the project will be from the school down, to which Mr. Cadwallader indicated that the project will move from Main Street to Porinchak's. Mr. Bowman indicated that the contractor wanted to start where the 3" line was and most of the 3" line is closer to Main Street. Mr. Bowman stated that Borough Council took up the question at a recent meeting about occupying the sidewalk and the water line going in the sidewalk. Borough Council is currently reviewing the situation and will let the Authority know their decision.

Mr. Sewalk indicated that, even though it will be a change order to the contract, EADS will put a design together and will need approval by the Authority for the permit fees for the public water permit and the E&S permit. Mr. Sewalk noted that he does not know the exact cost of the permits being that they vary depending on the project. Mr. Hodgkinson questioned the amount of funds remaining, to which Mr. Sewalk replied that it would be \$91,000; however, the permit fees would be out-of-pocket expenses to the Authority, probably \$500 - \$1,000. As it relates to the design of the project and the permit fees, Mr. Sewalk stated that EADS prepared a work order for a cost not to exceed \$8,650. Mr. Hodgkinson questioned if this would come off of the \$91,000, to which Mr. Sewalk replied negatively stating that the \$8,650 would be the total out-of-pocket expenses to the Authority. Mr. Sewalk emphasized, however, that the \$8,650 is an estimate and may be less. He explained that EADS uses the RUS curve and has deducted 25% since the project does not have to be bid. Mr. Hodgkinson questioned if the \$91,000 is still enough to do the entire project, to which Mr. Sewalk replied that the project cost may be more than the \$91,000. Mr. Cadwallader explained that, with the contingencies, we are about 60 feet short of reaching Porinchak's; so if there are no contingencies, we will reach Porinchak's. Mr. Hodgkinson questioned if the remaining part of the project would then be from Porinchak's to the school, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied affirmatively. He explained that this will open up the tank that is present; the pressure will stay the same but there will be more volume, fire protection, etc.

ON MOTION OF MR. HODGKINSON, SECONDED BY MR. KOVAL, THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY AGREED TO AUTHORIZE EADS OBTAINING THE PERMITS FOR THE MOUNTAIN AVENUE PROJECT AS WELL AS THE PAYMENT OF THE PERMIT

FEES BY THE AUTHORITY. BOARD MEMBERS VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY INCLUDED MR. BOWMAN, MR. HODGKINSON, MR. KOVAL, AND MR. YETSKO.

ON MOTION OF MR. HODGKINSON, SECONDED BY MR. KOVAL, THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED THE ENGINEERING AGREEMENT WITH EADS RELATING TO THE MOUNTAIN AVENUE PROJECT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$8,650. BOARD MEMBERS VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY INCLUDED MR. BOWMAN, MR. HODGKINSON, MR. KOVAL, AND MR. YETSKO.

Mr. Sewalk apprised the Board that the grant for the proposed Cemetery Road project has not yet been awarded. More information should be available next week.

Mr. Sewalk reported that he did provide Mr. Cadwallader with a copy of the annual inspection report for the dam.

As it relates to the long range plan, Mr. Sewalk noted that, if the Board would like to schedule a workshop in the future to review the plan, he and Mr. Beyer would be willing to meet with the Board. Mr. Bowman agreed stating that a lot of the goals in the plan have already been met. Mr. Sewalk agreed indicating that a lot of the work done over the last couple of years has solved much of the problems. He commented that a workshop over the winter or spring would be beneficial to review and update the plan. Mr. Beck questioned if the current long range plan was the one done quite some time ago, to which Mr. Cadwallader replied affirmatively. Mr. Beck noted that he remembered when the long range plan was completed; and it was worth its weight in gold. A few months after it was completed, the Corps of Engineers came in asking about Cassandra; and the Authority had the information in the long range plan that the Corps of Engineer needed. Mr. Beck emphasized that the long range plan has been quite beneficial over the years. Mr. Cadwallader stated that, each time a project is completed that was included in the long range plan, he does note in the plan that the project was completed. Mr. Sewalk commented that several of the grant agencies want to see shovel ready projects; and this is where a long range plan becomes beneficial. He pointed out that we need to look at the current plan, delete those projects that have been completed, relook at our priorities, etc. Mr. Bowman agreed that a workshop should be held to review the plan; and he asked the Board members to start thinking of any projects that should be included in an updated plan. A workshop can then be scheduled in the rather near future.

X. SOLICITOR'S REPORT

Attorney Barbin apprised the Board that a copy of his report was provided to the Board prior to the meeting.

As it relates to Diehl Contracting, Attorney Barbin reminded the Board that, at a previous meeting, the Board authorized Attorney Barbin to send a letter to Diehl informing the contractor that, in addition to filing suit to obtain payment of the outstanding monies owed, the Authority would be banning Diehl from any future work for the Authority. Attorney Barbin commented that this letter did get the attention of Diehl; and Diehl's attorney wrote a letter to Attorney Barbin indicating that the Authority did not have any right to ban Diehl from any future work for the Authority. Attorney Barbin explained that the Authority has to award a bid to the lowest responsible bidder. He explained to Diehl's attorney that the lowest responsible bidder would be someone who satisfies their contract obligations; and in this situation, Diehl did not do that. Attorney Barbin noted that what Diehl has done is totally unacceptable to the Authority in that it was not responsible or reasonable work; and he feels comfortable that the Authority can prevail on that. Attorney Barbin pointed out that there are no cases relative to barring contractors from future work; and, because of this, this could be a matter that might not be decided for a long time. Attorney Barbin stated that he is not sure that the Authority would be interested in following this matter up through the courts; and he doubts that Diehl would be either.

Attorney Barbin informed the Board that Diehl has requested a hearing before the Authority Board in this matter, which they do have the right under local public agency laws. Attorney Barbin explained that the Authority has made a decision that affects the rights of Diehl; and under the local public agency laws, when an agency does this, the person affected has the right to request a hearing before the Board. Attorney Barbin noted that Diehl would have the right to be represented by legal counsel, has a right to question any witness that has provided evidence against them, and has the right to present evidence themselves. If the Board does that, Attorney Barbin explained, the Board would have the choice to: 1) have the entire Board hear the case and make a decision; 2) appoint one member of the Board to act as the hearing officer; or 3) appointment someone else, other than a Board member, to act as the hearing officer. Attorney Barbin noted that usually when a Board appoints an independent hearing officer, it is usually an attorney that would be appointed; however, in this case it is a little bit simpler. Attorney Barbin has reviewed the procedural legal cases; and he believes the Authority can move forward without another attorney. Attorney Barbin would work with Mr. Cadwallader in presenting the information to the individual who is appointed as the hearing officer; and then the hearing officer would make a determination after hearing all of the evidence. After the decision is made, the Authority can then ask Attorney Barbin to write up the decision in legal form. Attorney Barbin commented that proceeding in this fashion would limit the costs to the Authority. Even with doing that, Attorney Barbin pointed out, this is a \$1,452.90 claim; and the Authority will spend more than that amount if we move in a different fashion, and so will Diehl.

Mr. Hodgkinson questioned what the response was from Diehl's attorney when Attorney Barbin stated a "responsible bidder," to which Attorney Barbin replied that the attorney's response was a request for a hearing. Attorney Barbin indicated that it could cost the Authority \$2,000 before everything is settled. Attorney Barbin pointed out that Diehl was a good contractor; however, Diehl's response in this case was that their warranty was up and that they had no responsibility was just not right. Diehl's attorney is claiming that it was not the fault of the contractor, but the pictures show otherwise. Mr. Cadwallader noted that the first set of pictures sent to Diehl was fuzzy, so the pictures were enlarged and resent to Diehl. The second set of prints clearly showed where the concern was. Mr. Hodgkinson commented that if it could cost \$2,000, and the Authority gets back \$1,452.90, the Authority is losing approximately \$600. He questioned if the Authority would be able to get the attorney fees back, to which Attorney Barbin replied negatively. Mr. Koval stated that, if Diehl was wrong, they need to admit that and cover the damages.

Mr. Koval questioned what the next step would be, to which Attorney Barbin noted that the appointment of a hearing officer would be the next step. Mr. Hodgkinson questioned where the hearing would be held, to which Attorney Barbin replied that the hearing would be held at the Authority office. Mr. Koval questioned who should be appointed at the hearing officer. Attorney Barbin explained that Mr. Cadwallader would need to be present at the hearing to present the facts; and Attorney Barbin would need to be present at the hearing to ensure that all of the facts are put on record. A stenographer would also be present in order to have a record of the hearing; and Attorney Barbin would need to ensure that the record is correct in the event of any appeal by Diehl.

Mr. Beck departed from the meeting at this time.

Discussion ensued regarding the appointment of a hearing officer. Attorney Barbin explained that the hearing officer would inform Attorney Barbin of his decision following the hearing; and Attorney Barbin could prepare a legal document to present to the Board for adoption at the next scheduled meeting. Attorney Barbin commented that impartiality of the hearing officer is good, but not essential. Mr. Bowman suggested the appointment of Mr. Beck as a hearing officer due to his prior knowledge of the Authority. Mr. Bowman attempted to contact Mr. Beck by cell phone, to no avail. Mr. Hodgkinson stated that he would recommend the appointment of Mr. Bowman as the hearing officer, to which Mr. Bowman replied that would prefer not to serve as the hearing officer due to his daily time commitments. Following discussion, it was recommended that Mr. Sewalk be appointed as the hearing officer, and Mr. Sewalk agreed.

ON MOTION OF MR. KOVAL, SECONDED BY MR. HODGKINSON, THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED THE APPOINTMENT OF MR. SEWALK AS HEARING OFFICER IN THE DIEHL MATTER. BOARD MEMBERS VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY INCLUDED MR. BOWMAN, MR. HODGKINSON, MR. KOVAL, AND MR. YETSKO.

Attorney Barbin stated that Mr. Sewalk would be responsible for setting the hearing date. Mr. Yetzko questioned if anyone is free to attend the hearing, to which Attorney Barbin replied affirmatively.

XI. FORESTER'S REPORT

No report.

XII. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- CDBG Project

Discussed earlier in the meeting. Project ongoing.

- Employee Issues

Mr. Bowman informed the Board that a meeting with the employees was held this week. He commented that concerns were brought forth by the employees relative to the new health plan as approved by the Board at the last meeting. Mr. Bowman pointed out matters in the new plan relating to large deductibles for various tests, etc. After review, Mr. Koval indicated that the Board needs to meet with the broker in this regard. Mr. Bowman stated that he contacted UPMC directly; and as soon as UPMC can obtain the census on the Authority employees, they will have more information (rather than going through the broker).

- PA Small Water and Sewer Grant

Discussed earlier in the meeting.

XIII. NEW BUSINESS

No New Business to be presented.

XIV. GOOD OF THE ORDER

- PMRS MMO Worksheet

Mr. Bowman noted that Mrs. Ringler completed the PMRS MMO worksheet; and he presented the information to the Board for review. As there was a question whether a payment need made now or if the information was for plan year 2015, the Board agreed to abstain from approving the worksheet until the next meeting.

- Allegheny Wind Farm CPI True Up Check

Mr. Bowman apprised the Board that a check in the amount of \$2,071.84 was received from Allegheny Wind Farm (CPI true up check).

ON MOTION OF MR. KOVAL, SECONDED BY MR. HODGKINSON, THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY AGREED TO DEPOSIT THE ALLEGHENY WIND FARM CPI TRUE UP CHECK IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,071.84 TO ACCOUNT 106.5. BOARD MEMBERS VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY INCLUDED MR. BOWMAN, MR. HODGKINSON, MR. KOVAL AND MR. YETSKO.

- Request for Adjustment

Mr. Bowman apprised the Board that a request for adjustment was received from the resident at 803 Caldwell Avenue. The leak was a result of a pipe breaking which was repaired. Total consumption was 40,300 gallons; average consumption, 17,400 gallons; bill received, \$277.64; average bill, \$133; adjusted bill, \$161.93.

ON MOTION OF MR. KOVAL, SECONDED BY MR. YETSKO, THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY AGREED TO APPROVE THE ABOVE REQUEST FOR ADJUSTMENT AS PRESENTED. BOARD MEMBERS VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY INCLUDED MR. BOWMAN, MR. HODGKINSON, MR. KOVAL AND MR. YETSKO.

XV. ADJOURNMENT

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, ON MOTION OF MR. KOVAL, SECONDED BY MR. YETSKO, THE BOARD ADJOURNED THE MEETING AT 7:59 P.M. BOARD MEMBERS VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY INCLUDED MR. BOWMAN, MR. HODGKINSON, MR. KOVAL, AND MR. YETSKO.

XVI. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be held on Thursday, October 2, 2014 at 7:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Sharon Squillario
Recording Secretary